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**Abstract**

Aim: The aim of the study was to find out the correlation between the mean platelet volume in the late 1st trimester of pregnancy and development of a Preeclampsia later on.

Materials and methods: It was a prospective cohort study in which 500 pregnant women (11–14 weeks of gestation) were enrolled and their mean platelet volume (MPV) was measured. They were followed till delivery. MPV of pre-eclamptic and normotensive women was compared.

Results: MPV of pre-eclamptic women was significantly higher than that of the normotensive women (p = 0.001).

Conclusion: MPV > 10.55 fL was found to be 68.7% sensitive and 55.9% specific for the prediction of Preeclampsia with a negative predictive value of 87.6%.
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**Results**

Of the 416 women included in the study, 19.95% developed preeclampsia. An estimated 61 women (14.66%) developed a severe Preeclampsia, and rest 333 (80.05%) were normal healthy pregnant women.
All the women enrolled in the study were demographically similar. The mean age of the women in this study was 25.85 ± 2.84 years. The mean age of the controls was 25.71 ± 2.64 years and that of the Study group was 26.43 ± 3.47 years.

The mean platelet volume of the control group was 10.71 ± 1.81 fL. The mean platelet volume of the entire study group was 11.41 ± 1.57 fL, while that of the study group I was 11.21 ± 1.59 fL and that of the study group II was 11.99 ± 1.39 fL.

After statistical analysis, it was found that the MPV of the study group was significantly higher than that of the controls, with a p value of 0.001 (Table 1). When the MPV of the study group I was compared with that of the controls, the p value was found to be 0.044 (Table 2), which was also statistically significant. Table 3 shows that the MPV of the study group II was significantly higher than that of the controls (p = 0.001). The comparison of MPV of study group I and study group II is shown in Table 4, which shows that the MPV of study group II was significantly higher than that of the study group I (p = 0.045).

Area under curve was 0.638 (indicating a projected accuracy of 63.8%) for MPV. On evaluating ROC (Fig. 1), a cutoff value of MPV >10.550 fL was predicted to be 68.7% sensitive and 55.9% specific. Its positive predictive value was 27.5% and negative predictive value was 87.6%.

**Discussion**

Preeclampsia is a pregnancy-specific disorder characterized by the development of hypertension and proteinuria after 20 weeks of gestation. Impaired trophoblastic invasion of the maternal spiral arterioles during placentation has been postulated to be the etiopathogenesis of Preeclampsia. The resulting endothelial damage in the maternal spiral arterioles causes platelet consumption and, hence, platelet activation. Since placentation occurs mainly in the late first trimester, the present study aimed to study the co-relation between the MPV recorded between 11 and 14 weeks and development of a Preeclampsia later on.

A glance at the literature shows conflicting results over the role of platelet indices in predicting a Preeclampsia.

Study done by Freitas et al. showed that the MPV was higher in patients with severe Preeclampsia when compared to the normotensive pregnant and nonpregnant women. The p values for these findings were 0.05 and <0.001, respectively, showing that these values were statistically significant.

Annam et al. showed that the value of MPV was 8.63 ± 1.32 fL in the normotensive women, 10.38 ± 1.65 fL in the pre-eclamptic group, and 11.03 ± 2.23 fL in the eclamptic group. They concluded that there occurs a gradual increase in the MPV from the normotensive pregnant women to the pre-eclamptic and eclamptic women and the differences were statistically significant.

In the present study, the results obtained were similar to the earlier cited studies. The MPV of the study group is 11.41 ± 1.57 fL and that of the controls is 10.71 ± 1.81 fL. The p value is 0.001. Hence, it is obvious that the present study shows a statistically significant difference between the MPV values of the study group and those of the control group.

A study done by Kanat-Pektas et al. also arrived at the same result. In their study, the MPV of the uncomplicated pregnancies was 10.2 ± 0.9 fL and that of the preeclamptic women was 11.0 ± 1.2 fL. Thus, the results of the present study are quite comparable to their results.

When we analyze the MPV values of the different subgroups in the present study, we find that the MPV of the study group I is 11.21 ± 1.59 fL, the mean MPV of the study group II is 11.99 ± 1.39 fL, and that of the controls is 10.71 ± 1.81 fL. A cross-sectional study done by Ammar et al. showed that the MPV of normotensive pregnant women, mildly preeclamptic women, and severely preeclamptic women were 8.522 ± 0.8555 fL, 9.843 ± 0.7008 fL, and 11.294 ± 1.1714 fL, respectively. The difference in the mean MPV values of the different groups in the present study as well as in their study was statistically significant. Hence, their results also laid stress upon the fact that the MPV in late 1st trimester is higher in women destined to develop Preeclampsia and can be used as a predictive marker. They also concluded that MPV value of 10.3 fL can predict Preeclampsia with 87.5% sensitivity and 85.3% specificity.

---

**Table 1: A comparison between MPV of the study group and that of the control group**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study group (n = 83)</th>
<th>Control group (n = 333)</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MPV (fL) (mean + SD)</td>
<td>11.41 ± 1.57</td>
<td>10.71 ± 1.81</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2: A comparison of MPV of study group I with that of the control group**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study group I (n = 61)</th>
<th>Control group (n = 333)</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MPV (fL) (mean + SD)</td>
<td>11.21 ± 1.59</td>
<td>10.71 ± 1.81</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3: A comparison of MPV of study group II with that of the control group**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study group II (n = 22)</th>
<th>Control group (n = 333)</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MPV (fL) (mean + SD)</td>
<td>11.99 ± 1.39</td>
<td>10.71 ± 1.81</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 4: A comparison of MPV of study group II with that of study group I**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study group II (n = 22)</th>
<th>Study group I (n = 61)</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MPV (fL) (mean + SD)</td>
<td>11.99 ± 1.39</td>
<td>11.21 ± 1.59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The results of the present study are also well supported by the findings of Dadhich et al., who found that the MPV of the pre-eclamptic women was significantly more than that of the normotensive women at all periods of gestation. The present study is also in agreement with the observations made by Santos et al. and Mondal et al.

The MPV values obtained in the study of Mondal et al. were 10.05 ± 0.71 fl, 11.55 ± 0.86 fl, and 12.16 ± 1.05 fl in normal pregnancy, patients with Preeclampsia and eclampsia, respectively. Hence, their conclusion that the MPV is higher in preeclamptic and eclamptic women than normotensive women supports the observations and results of the present study.

Kashanian et al. also found that the MPV in the 1st trimester of pregnancy in preeclamptic women was higher (10.2 ± 1.06 fl) when compared to the normal pregnant women (9.68 ± 1.09 fl), p = 0.008. Hence, their findings were also consistent with the results of the present study.

Ceyhan et al. conducted a study in which they found that in the first trimester, the MPV of the controls were 9.45 ± 1.11 fl, that of the mildly preeclamptic group was 9.18 ± 1.52 fl, and that of the women with severe Preeclampsia was 8.87 ± 1.79 fl. They did not find any statistically significant difference between the MPV of the control group and the pre-eclamptic group. Hence, their results do not correlate with the results of the present study.

This could be due to a difference in the method of MPV estimation and a difference in the demographic characteristics of the population.

**Conclusion**

From the earlier mentioned observations, we conclude that the mean platelet volume increases in the late first trimester (11–14 weeks) in women destined to develop a Preeclampsia. Also, it can be inferred that the mean platelet volume increases more in the women who are predisposed to develop a severe Preeclampsia (11.99 ± 1.39 fl) than those who will develop a nonsevere Preeclampsia (11.21 ± 1.59 fl). Since the negative predictive value came out to be 87.6%, the estimation of MPV in the late first trimester of pregnancy can be used to identify women who need to be followed up carefully for the development of Preeclampsia later on in pregnancy. We propose that further studies with a large sample size be done to study the combination of mean platelet volume with other economical markers so that Preeclampsia can be predicted with increased sensitivity and specificity without putting extra monetary burden on the pregnant women especially in low-resource settings.
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