

# Assessment of Competencies of Medical Students in Conducting 'Normal Delivery' Using Various Tools

<sup>1</sup>Ashok Verma, <sup>2</sup>Amrita Singhal, <sup>3</sup>Suresh Verma, <sup>4</sup>Shivani Vashist

## ABSTRACT

**Introduction:** It is well established that the graduating medical practitioner must have knowledge and expertise in women's health. List of competencies have been developed for specialist training; however, expected competencies have not been defined for undergraduate medical students in India.

**Aims and objectives:** To assess the competencies of the students in conducting normal delivery and common clinical tasks using various tools.

**Methods:** Forty-four final year medical students and 26 teachers participated in the study that was conducted over a 4-month period. Planned curriculum, teaching methods, and assessment plans were displayed prominently. Faculties and students were sensitized regarding assessment using multiple choice question (MCQ), short answer question (SAQ), objective structured clinical examination (OSCE), and direct observation of procedural skills (DOPS). Self-assessment by the students was also done in each competency.

**Results:** All the faculties liked the teaching-learning-assessment method >3 on the Likert scale. Each student assisted in conducting 20–40 deliveries. Mean score in MCQ and SAQ was 63.6%, 71.7% in OSCE, and 70.7% in DOPS. Mean score in assisting normal delivery by all methods was 77%. Lower than expected score was observed in partogram interpretation (61.3%), and postpartum care (55%). Student self-assessment is lower than faculty expectations in postpartum care (34.3%), family planning services (50.3%), and newborn resuscitation and care (58.7%)

**Conclusion:** Students are confident in assisting normal delivery. They are less confident in partogram interpretation, neonatal resuscitation and care, postpartum care, and family planning service. Assessment using various tools and student self-assessment is important in the identification of thrust areas in curriculum planning.

**Keywords:** Assessment, Competencies, Medical students normal delivery, OSCE:DOPS

**How to cite this article:** Verma A, Singhal A, Verma S, Vashist S. Assessment of Competencies of Medical Students in Conducting 'Normal Delivery' Using Various Tools. *J South Asian Feder Obst Gynae* 2018;10(Suppl 2):377-380.

<sup>1</sup>Associate Professor, <sup>2,4</sup>Junior Resident, <sup>3</sup>Professor

<sup>1-4</sup>Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Dr Rajendra Prasad Government Medical College, Kangra, Himachal Pradesh, India

**Corresponding Author:** Ashok Verma, Associate Professor, Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Dr Rajendra Prasad Government Medical College, Kangra, Himachal Pradesh, India, e-mail: dr.ashok\_verma@yahoo.com

**Source of support:** Nil

**Conflict of interest:** None

**Date of received:** 5 February 2016

**Date of acceptance:** 12 August 2018

**Date of publication:** July 2019

## INTRODUCTION

Since the 1950s, there has been a rapid and extensive change in the way assessment is conducted in medical education. Several new methods of assessment have been developed and implemented over this time, and they have focussed on clinical skills, communication skills, procedural skills and, professionalism.<sup>1</sup> Clinical teachers have an important role to play in a comprehensive assessment plan as the students spend increasing amount of their time in clinical settings, and should be assessed there.

It is well established that the graduating medical practitioner must have knowledge and expertise in women's health. While competency maps have been developed for specialist training, expected competencies have not been defined for medical students. Efforts have been made in the United States to identify priority learning objectives in obstetrics and gynecology.<sup>2</sup> In India, there have been no studies identifying core competencies in obstetrics and common clinical tasks that are required in practice. Workplace-based assessment is strongly recommended for inclusion in the in-training assessment program for any competency-based training.<sup>3</sup>

## AIMS AND OBJECTIVES

To assess the competencies of the students in conducting normal delivery and common clinical tasks using various tools.

Self-evaluation by the medical students of their confidence in common clinical tasks in obstetrics.

## METHODS

The study was conducted after approval of the ethics committee of the institution was obtained. Forty-four final year MBBS students and twenty-six teachers participated in the study that was conducted over four month's period from 1st May to 31st August 2015 at Dr RPGMC, Kangra

at Tanda, Himachal Pradesh, India. Curriculum planned, teaching roster, and assessment plan were prominently displayed on the notice board after sensitization of the students and the teachers. The assessment was carried out by various faculties by MCQ, SAQ, viva, logbooks, OSCE, and DOPS at the end of the 1-month training. Before OSCE and DOPS, students and teachers were explained and sensitized as to what they were supposed to do on these stations.

Teaching-learning assessment plan was prepared and is shown in Table 1. Faculty expectations of the confidence of the students at the end of the posting are shown in Table 2.

**RESULTS**

Each student conducted a minimum of 20 deliveries under the supervision and independently as shown in Table 3.

In each competency mean score obtained by the students in MCQ/SAQ testing knowledge domain, student, and the faculty expectations is shown in Table 4.

Table 5 shows the mean score obtained by the students in DOPS and OSCE and compared with the self-assessment and the faculty expectations.

Figure 1 is a bar diagram showing a comparison of faculty expectations, student self-assessment, MCQ/SAQ scores, scores on OSCE and DOPS.

**DISCUSSION**

Majority of the participants (75.1%) in the study reported good perceived overall confidence to recognize and manage normal delivery and common obstetric problems faced in an emergency in the labor room. Partograph interpretation is an important core competency in which students performed less (61.3%) than faculty expectations (70%). They were significantly less confident with postpartum care (55%) another core competency. These areas can be considered subsequently during the internship. Students who assisted a greater number of births had higher scores in these competencies. Similar observations were made by Yigzaw et al.<sup>4</sup> who observed better performance by the students who assisted more number of births. Providing additional ‘skills and drills’ practical training has proved to be effective in increasing the knowledge and skills of health care providers.<sup>5</sup> (Bettina UTZ).

Interestingly student self-assessment showed lower confidence in postpartum care (34.5%), family planning (50.3%),

**Table 1:** Teaching-learning assessment plan

Departmental curriculum committee meeting	Identified core competencies to be mastered by the students at the end of 1 month.
Teaching-learning method used	Demonstrations and hands on training daily by Junior residents, senior residents and consultants in obstetrics skills
Various assessment method by six teachers	MCQ, SQQ—structured questions covering each competency with equal marks
	Logbook review
	DOPS—6 encounters OSCE—10 stations on day 25-day 30
Student feedback at the end of posting	Self assessment in the core competencies
Assessment criteria	Each student assisted minimum 20 deliveries and entered in log book duly verified by senior residents
	Students—Mean performance in OSCE and DOPS of >60% in each competency Faculty—All the teachers like the programme >3 on Likert scale

**Table 2:** Faculty expectations of the confidence of the students at the end of posting

Competency	Expected to function independently without direct supervision	Expected to be able to undertake task under direct supervision	Expected to describe the task only
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Antenatal history taking</li> <li>Assisting normal delivery</li> <li>Partograph interpretation</li> <li>Active management of 3rd stage of labour</li> <li>New born resuscitation</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Parental administration of antibiotics and oxytocin</li> <li>Administration of anticonvulsants</li> <li>Assessment and decision making in haemorrhage</li> <li>Assessment diagnosis and decision making in eclampsia</li> <li>Preterm labour management</li> <li>Episiotomy</li> <li>Postpartum care</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Exploration of cervical and vaginal tear</li> <li>Vacuum assisted delivery</li> <li>Family planning services</li> </ul>

## Assessment of Competencies of Medical Students in Conducting 'Normal Delivery' Using Various Tools

**Table 3:** Number of deliveries conducted by the students in 1 month

Number of deliveries assisted/conducted by the students	Mean = 26.1524.5 SD = 5.37 Median = 24.5 Minimum = 20 Maximum = 40
Cases entered in log book, verified by the Senior Residents	All

**Table 4:** Competencies: Self assessment, faculty expectations and MCQ/SAQ scores

Competency	Self assessment	Faculty expectation	Mean MCQ, SAQ score
Antenatal history taking	72.3	100	75
Assisting normal delivery	89	70	–
Partograph interpretation	85.6	70	65
Active management of 3rd stage	72.3	70	70
Newborn resuscitation and care	58.7	70	65
Parental administration of oxytocin and antibiotics	85.6	60	64
Anticonvulsant administration	88.4	60	65
Assessment in hemorrhage	88.4	60	60
Assessment in eclampsia	88.4	60	63
Preterm labour management	74	60	65
Episiotomy	88	60	–
Postpartum care	34.3	50	62
Cervical tear exploration	76	50	–
Family planning services	50.3	50	63

Mean faculty expectations = 63.6, S.D = 12.779 (min = 50, max = 100)

Mean student self assessment = 75.1, SD = 16.37 (min = 34.3, max = 89)

MCQ and SAQ scores mean = 65.2, SD = 4.1 (min = 60, max = 75)

Paired sample t-test done for faculty expectations and mean MCQ/SAQ scores,  $t = -0.205$ , Sig = 0.842

**Table 5:** Mean performance score at each OSCE station and DOPS, and the faculty expectations

Competency	Mean OSCE performance score at each station	Mean performance on DOPS	Faculty expectation
Antenatal history taking	72.3	65.5	100
Assisting normal delivery	66.1	76.8	70
Partograph interpretation	55.5	55.5	70
Active management of 3rd stage	69.1	65.3	70
Newborn resuscitation	85.6	85.5	70
Parental administration of oxytocin and antibiotics	85.6	85.5	60
Anticonvulsant administration	88.4	88.4	60
Assessment in hemorrhage	88.4	65	60
Assessment in eclampsia	88.4	85	60
Preterm labor management	63.4	56	60
Episiotomy	88	85.6	60
Postpartum care	46.8	56.4	50
Cervical tear exploration	56.4	56.3	50
Family planning services	50.3	63.6	50

Mean faculty expectations = 63.6, S.D= 12.779 (min = 50, max = 100)

Mean score OSCE = 71.8, S.D =15.6 (minimum = 47, maximum = 88)

Mean score DOPS = 70.7, SD = 13.1 (min = 55.5, max = 88.4)

Paired sample t-test done for faculty expectations and mean OSCE scores,  $t = -1.694$ , Sig = 0.114

Paired sample t-test done for faculty expectations and mean DOPS scores,  $t = -1.523$ , Sig = 0.152




Fig. 1: Faculty expectation, self assessment, mean MCQ/SAQ score, performance on OSCE and DOPS

and neonatal resuscitation and care (58.7%). The reason for lower confidence could be related to that little or no hands-on training was provided in these competencies. Similar observations were made by Pierides et al.<sup>2</sup> who found that lesser confidence may be related to less exposure. These competencies may form thrust areas in subsequent training.

These competencies need to be given priority in planning the curriculum for subsequent maternity postings and internship training.

There is no doubt that midwifery services are crucial to the achievement of national and international goals in reproductive, maternal, newborn and child health, now and beyond.<sup>3,4</sup>

## CONCLUSION

Undergraduate medical students are confident in assisting normal delivery and common clinical tasks in obstetrics.

Assessment of competencies revealed that further training is needed in partogram interpretations, family

planning, neonatal resuscitation and postpartum care in subsequent pieces of training.

## REFERENCES

1. Nircinii JJ, KcKinley DW. Assessment methods in medical education. *Teaching and teacher education* 2007;23:239-250
2. Pierides K, Duggan P, et al. Medical student self reported confidence in obstetrics and gynaecology: Development of a core clinical competencies document. *BMC Medical Education* 2013;13:62-68.
3. Singh T, Modi JN. Workplace-based assessment: A step to promote competency based postgraduate training. *Indian Pediatrics* 2013;50:553-559.
4. Yigzaw A, Kim YM, et al. How well does pre-service education prepare midwives for practice: competence assessment of midwifery students at the point of graduation in Ethiopia. *BMC Medical Education* 2015;15:130-139.
5. Bettina UTZ, Siddiqui G, et al. Definitions and roles of a skilled birth attendant: a mapping exercise from four South-Asian countries. *ACTA Obstetrica et Gynecologica Scandinavica* 2013;92:1063-1069